So far, our results on the isoenzymes of the enzymes tested give reason to suppose that the G-6-PDH isoenzyme activity (except in *C. utilis*) is pronounced most strongly (Figure 1), followed by the LDH and MDH isoenzyme activity respectively (Figures 2 and 3). On the other hand, our data show that each *Candida* species has its individual isoenzyme features. From the number, the degree of isoenzyme activity, and the arrangement of isoenzymes, conclusions can be drawn as to the *Candida* species actually observed[7].

Zusammenfassung. Nach Elektrophorese in Agargel wurden die Isoenzyme von G-6-PDH, LDH und MDH in Extrakten von *Candida albicans, C. tropicalis, C. pseudotropicalis, C. crusei* und *C. utilis* untersucht. Es liessen sich diverse Isoenzyme von unterschiedlichem Aktivitätsgrad und wohl auch verschiedener Lage in den Isozymogrammen nachweisen, was bestimmte Schlüsse auf betreffende *Candida*-Arten erlaubt.

K. BERCHEV and I. IZMIROV

Central Histochemical and Cytochemical Laboratories, Higher Institute of Medicine, Sofia (Bulgaria), 15th June 1967.

[7] TRAN VA KY, J. URIEL and F. ROSE, Annls Inst. Pasteur, Paris *2*, 161 (1966).

A Proposed Uniform Method of Reporting Response Values for Crustacean Chromatophorotropins: the Standard Integrated Response

Several different groups of investigators, including ourselves, are currently at work attempting to purify and characterize crustacean chromatophorotropins[1]. However, there is no standard, universally accepted method of reporting the potencies of these substances. Consequently, a great deal of difficulty exists when one group of investigators wishes to compare its findings with that of another group. Examples of some of the methods that have been used will demonstrate the difficulty one faces in trying to compare data from different laboratories. '*Leander* units'[2] have been defined as 'the smallest amount of the hormone which will cause within 20 min a distinct blanching of eye-stalkless specimens of *Leander adspersus*' (the name of this prawn has in the meanwhile been changed to *Palaemon squilla*). We also find[3] the use of '+' for 'a complete concentration which lasted for an hour or less' and '++++' for 'a complete concentration which lasted for longer than an hour'. Most recently, LOWE and HORN[4] designed a response value calculated from an equation involving the time interval between the observations and a mathematical factor such that 'the amount of hormone which will just effect complete concentration of the red pigment in 15–30 min has an activity value of approximately 100'. Herein, we propose a standardized system that will, if accepted by other workers in the field, minimize the problem. Unfortunately, no crustacean chromatophorotropin has been purified in sufficient quantity to enable definition of a unit based on weight of the hormone preparation. Instead the response is based on the amount of tissue or the number of organs from which the extract was prepared.

The schemes used with the most frequency for reporting effects of chromatophorotropins are various modifications of that described by SANDEEN[5]. Her procedure was to calculate the sum of the average HOGBEN and SLOME chromatophore stages[6] determined at each time of observation for the duration of the response for both the experimental and control groups. According to the HOGBEN and SLOME system, stage 1 represents maximal pigment concentration, stage 5 maximal dispersion, and stages 2, 3, and 4 the intermediate conditions. When pigment dispersion occurs the sum for the control group is subtracted from the sum for the experimental group. For pigment concentration the sum of the experimental group is subtracted from the control. The difference is the response value. The advantage of this measure of the response is that it encompasses both intensity and duration. However, even when investigators use the basic scheme outlined by SANDEEN they do not all use the same time intervals for the readings and consequently the response values are not comparable[7,8].

We, therefore, propose, for the sake of uniformity and ease of comparison of data from different laboratories that, in addition to whatever method of obtaining and presenting data the investigator feels is most beneficial to his particular set of experiments, he should also present response values calculated in the manner described by SANDEEN. For pigment dispersion the values should be based on observations of the chromatophores performed at the time of injection and 15, 30, and every 30 min thereafter for the duration of the response. For all pigment-concentrating hormones the same time intervals should be used plus an observation 5 min after injection because in some crustaceans, such as the prawn *Palaemonetes*[9], for example, its red pigment-concentrating hormone has maximal effect after only 5 min. We recommend that this value be given the name Standard Integrated Response, which can be conveniently abbreviated SIR[10].

Résumé. Les investigateurs des chromatophorotropins n'emploient pas une méthode uniforme pour présenter leurs résultats. Par conséquent, la comparaison des données fournies par des laboratoires différents est souvent difficile. Pour éliminer ce problème, une méthode uniforme et pratique est proposée.

M. FINGERMAN, K. RANGA RAO and C. K. BARTELL

Department of Biology, Tulane University, New Orleans (Louisiana 70118, USA), 5th June 1967.

[1] M. FINGERMAN, Physiol. Rev. *45*, 296 (1965).
[2] E. ÖSTLUND and R. FANGE, Annls Sci. nat., Zool. *11*, 325 (1956).
[3] F. G. W. KNOWLES, Pubbl. staz. Zool. Napoli *24*, suppl. 74 (1954).
[4] M. E. LOWE and D. H. S. HORN, Nature, *213*, 408 (1967).
[5] M. I. SANDEEN, Physiol. Zool. *23*, 337 (1950).
[6] L. T. HOGBEN and D. SLOME, Proc. R. Soc. B *108*, 10 (1931).
[7] J. D. COSTLOW JR, Nature *192*, 183 (1961).
[8] L. H. KLEINHOLZ and F. KIMBALL, Gen. comp. Endocr. *5*, 336 (1965).
[9] F. A. BROWN JR., H. M. WEBB and M. I. SANDEEN, J. exp. Zool. *120*, 391 (1952).
[10] The research in the laboratory of the authors was supported by Grant No. GB-5236 from the National Science Foundation.